

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE `	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,710	01/24/2001	Harry C. Morris	DMBC-0003 2728	
26259 75	90 03/13/2003			
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			EXAMINER	
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			180
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/768,710	MORRIS, HARRY C.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	John J. Zimmerman	1775	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	neq
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set for	fee under orth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyin	g the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendi	ment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<b>-</b> -	
10. Other:		Jehn J. Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1775	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		1	

C ntinuation She t (PTO-303) 009/768,710

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed requirement that the protective layer must be applied to "all" areas of the blade shaped substrate is a new issue that has not been previously required by the claims. This new requirem in would require further consideration and/or search in order to show anticipation or obviousn is of such a feature. Changes of this scope to the claims at this point in prosecution is untimely and will not be entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of the non-entry of the proposed amendment, applicant's arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the pending claims.